

Appendix for MH/DD/SAS Community Systems Progress Indicators

Report for First Quarter SFY 2006-2007 July 1 – September 30, 2006

Prepared by:
Quality Management Team
Community Policy Management Section
Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services

November 15, 2006



Appendix for Community Systems Progress Indicators: First Quarter SFY 2006-2007
This Appendix is a companion to the Community Systems Progress Indicators Report, which
is also available on the Division's website at: http://www.dhhs.state.nc.us/mhddsas/.
Appendix A provides a list of LME abbreviations used in the Report and their corresponding
member counties. Appendix B provides the population density of each county used to
determine groupings for Indicator 6, as referenced in the Report. Appendix C contains the
tables with the statistics for each LME on the ten Progress Indicators addressed in the Repor
tables with the statistics for each Livie on the ten i rogics indicators addressed in the Repor

APPENDIX A: Listing of LME A	breviations	
LME Abbreviation in Report	Name of LME	LME Member Counties
A-C-R	Alamance-Caswell-Rockingham	Alamance, Caswell, and Rockingham
Albemarle	Albemarle	Camden, Chowan, Dare, Pasquotank, and
		Perquimans
Catawba	Catawba	Catawba
CenterPoint	CenterPoint	Davie, Forsyth, and Stokes
Crossroads	Crossroads	Iredell, Surry, and Yadkin
Cumberland	Cumberland	Cumberland
Durham	Durham	Durham
Eastpointe	Eastpointe	Duplin, Lenoir, Sampson, and Wayne
Edgecombe-Nash	Edgecombe-Nash	Edgecombe and Nash
Five County	Five County	Franklin, Granville, Halifax, Vance, and Warren
Foothills	Foothills	Alexander, Burke, Caldwell, and McDowell
Guilford	Guilford	Guilford
Johnston	Johnston	Johnston
Mecklenburg	Mecklenburg	Mecklenburg
Neuse	Neuse	Craven, Jones, and Pamlico
New River	New River	Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Watauga, and Wilkes
Onslow-Carteret	Onslow-Carteret	Carteret and Onslow
OPC	OPC	Chatham, Orange, and Person
Pathways	Pathways	Cleveland, Gaston, and Lincoln
Piedmont	Piedmont	Cabarrus, Davidson, Rowan, Stanly, and Union
Pitt	Pitt	Pitt
Roanoke-Chowan	Roanoke-Chowan	Bertie, Gates, Hertford, and Northampton
Sandhills	Sandhills	Anson, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore,
		Randolph, and Richmond
Smoky Mountain	Smoky Mountain	Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, and Swain
Southeastern Center	Southeastern Center	Brunswick, New Hanover, and Pender
Southeastern Regional	Southeastern Regional	Bladen, Columbus, Robeson, and Scotland
Tideland	Tideland	Beaufort, Hyde, Martin, Tyrrell, and Washington
Wake	Wake	Wake
Western Highlands	Western Highlands	Buncombe, Henderson, Madison, Mitchell, Polk,
		Rutherford, Transylvania, and Yancey
Wilson-Greene	Wilson-Greene	Greene and Wilson

		Group Desi			
Urbar		Mixed**		Albamaria	
Catawba Cumberland	354 463	A-C-R Alamance	303	Albemarle Camden	28
Dumberiand Durham	768	Caswell	55	Camden	26 84
Guilford	647	Rockingham	162	Currituck	69
Mecklenburg	1,318	CenterPoint	102	Dare	78
Pitt	205	Davie	131	Pasquotank	153
Pathways	203	Forsyth	747	Perquimans	45
Cleveland	207	Stokes	98	Edgecombe-Nash	70
Gaston	533	Crossroads	90	Edgecombe	110
Lincoln	213	Iredell	213	Nash	161
Vake	752	Surry	132	Five County	101
vanc	132	Yadkin	108	Franklin	96
		Eastpointe	100	Granville	91
		Duplin	59	Halifax	79
		Lenoir	149	Vance	169
		Sampson	63	Warren	46
		Wayne	205	Foothills	-10
		OPC	200	Alexander	129
		Chatham	72	Burke	175
		Orange	295	Caldwell	164
		Person	90	McDowell	95
		Piedmont	50	Johnston	154
		Cabarrus	359	Neuse	104
		Davidson	266	Craven	131
		Rowan	254	Jones	21
		Stanly	147	Pamlico	38
		Union	194	New River	00
		Southeastern Center	10-1	Alleghany	45
		Brunswick	85	Ashe	57
		New Hanover	805	Avery	69
		Pender	47	Watauga	136
		Western Highlands	7,	Wilkes	86
		Buncombe	314	Onslow-Carteret	00
		Henderson	238	Carteret	111
		Madison	43	Onslow	196
		Mitchell	70	Roanoke-Chowan	130
		Polk	77	Bertie	28
		Rutherford	111	Gates	30
		Transylvania	77	Hertford	63
		Yancey	56	Northampton	41
		Tunedy	00	Sandhills	
				Anson	47
				Harnett	152
				Hoke	86
				Lee	190
				Montgomery	54
				Moore	107
				Randolph	165
				Richmond	98
				Smoky Mountain	30
				Cherokee	53
				Clay	40
				Graham	27
				Haywood	97
				Jackson	67
				Macon	57
				Swain	24
				Southeastern Regional	24
				Bladen	36
				Columbus	58
				Robeson	58 129
				Scotland	1129
				Tideland	112
					EA
				Beaufort	54
				Hyde	9
				Martin	55
				Tyrrell	10
				Washington	39
				Wilson-Greene	
				Greene	71

^{*} From N.C. Rural Economic Development Center's Rural Data Bank http://www.ncruralcenter.org/databank/ based on 2000 Census of Population.

^{**} Urban= 200 (+) average number of persons living per square mile of land area. The Mixed group contains multi-county LMEs with at least one member county meeting the definition of urban. Rural counties contain fewer than 200 persons per square mile.

APPENDIX C: List of Tables for Progress Indicators

INDICATOR 1: Identification of Individuals in Need of Service (Adults)

INDICATOR 1: Identification of Individuals in Need of Service (Children/Adolescents)

INDICATOR 2: Timely Initiation and Engagement of Individuals in Service (Mental Health)

INDICATOR 2: Timely Initiation and Engagement of Individuals in Service (Developmental Disability)

INDICATOR 2: Timely Initiation and Engagement of Individuals in Service (Substance Abuse)

INDICATOR 3: Effective Use of State Psychiatric Hospitals

INDICATOR 4: Timely Follow-Up Care for Individuals After Inpatient Care

INDICATOR 5: Consumer Choice of Service Providers

INDICATOR 6: Use of Evidence-Based Service Models and **Best Practices**

INDICATOR 7: Scores Related to Implementation of Management Functions

INDICATOR 8: Involvement of Consumers and Family

Members in the Local System

INDICATOR 9: Effective Management of Service Funds INDICATOR 10: Effective Management of Information

INDICATOR 1: Identification	of Individuals In Nee	d of Service (Adults)				
	Mental	Health	Developmental Disability		Substan	ce Abuse
LME	Prevalence Rate per 1,000*	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000	Prevalence Rate per 1,000	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000	Prevalence Rate per 1,000	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000
A-C-R	51.0	23.8	8.0	2.9	66.0	7.3
Albemarle	50.0	24.0	8.0	2.7	66.0	8.0
Catawba	53.0	22.2	8.0	2.6	66.0	7.6
CenterPoint	63.0	17.7	8.0	2.9	66.0	7.1
Crossroads	54.0	20.7	8.0	2.4	66.0	5.6
Cumberland	70.0	23.0	8.0	2.2	66.0	6.9
Durham	67.0	16.8	8.0	3.2	66.0	6.5
EastPointe	50.0	23.2	8.0	3.7	66.0	5.2
Edgecombe-Nash	53.0	20.4	8.0	3.2	66.0	8.9
FiveCounty	54.0	30.4	8.0	3.4	66.0	7.4
Foothills	54.0	21.1	8.0	2.5	66.0	5.4
Guilford	66.0	20.3	8.0	3.0	66.0	6.4
Johnston	50.0	27.2	8.0	1.8	66.0	8.4
Mecklenburg	67.0	7.6	8.0	1.9	66.0	2.9
Neuse	52.0	23.3	8.0	3.6	66.0	7.8
New River	55.0	29.9	8.0	3.3	66.0	10.6
Onslow-Carteret	57.0	14.6	8.0	2.1	66.0	5.9
OPC	65.0	16.5	8.0	3.1	66.0	6.8
Pathways	67.0	38.8	8.0	3.9	66.0	10.5
Piedmont**	58.0	34.9	8.0	2.1	66.0	7.8
Pitt	63.0	12.4	8.0	2.8	66.0	6.8
Roanoke-Chowan	45.0	35.1	8.0	5.4	66.0	8.3
Sandhills	56.0	18.6	8.0	2.2	66.0	6.1
Smoky Mountain	46.0	19.1	8.0	2.5	66.0	4.3
Southeastern Center	52.0	21.0	8.0	2.2	66.0	8.4
Southeastern Regional	51.0	29.8	8.0	4.7	66.0	10.1
Tideland	47.0	29.6	8.0	3.6	66.0	7.2
Wake	67.0	13.4	8.0	1.9	66.0	4.6
Western Highlands	48.0	28.6	8.0	3.3	66.0	9.3
Wilson-Greene	54.0	21.0	8.0	3.3	66.0	6.6
STATEWIDE	58.0	21.7	8.0	2.7	66.0	6.8

 $^{^{\}star}$ LME-specific prevalence rates for a dult mental health are shown.

^{**} Piedmont's prevalence rate is listed as the overall state rate because an LME-specific rate was not available.

	Mental	Health	Developmen	tal Disability	Substan	ce Abuse
LME	Prevalence Rate per 1,000	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000	Prevalence Rate per 1,000	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000	Prevalence Rate per 1,000	Treated Prevelance Rate per 1,000
A-C-R	66.0	30.1	34.0	3.1	66.0	6.9
Albemarle	66.0	37.5	34.0	4.4	66.0	2.8
Catawba	66.0	43.1	34.0	3.7	66.0	11.0
CenterPoint	66.0	26.9	34.0	5.1	66.0	6.3
Crossroads	66.0	24.8	34.0	3.3	66.0	5.3
Cumberland	66.0	41.5	34.0	3.9	66.0	4.9
Durham	66.0	43.4	34.0	5.8	66.0	7.1
EastPointe	66.0	41.5	34.0	5.2	66.0	2.3
Edgecombe-Nash	66.0	31.9	34.0	2.8	66.0	7.6
FiveCounty	66.0	43.0	34.0	4.4	66.0	4.0
Foothills	66.0	36.0	34.0	2.5	66.0	4.0
Guilford	66.0	31.9	34.0	4.2	66.0	4.3
Johnston	66.0	33.6	34.0	3.0	66.0	5.8
Mecklenburg	66.0	19.5	34.0	3.3	66.0	2.7
Neuse	66.0	43.8	34.0	7.3	66.0	5.9
New River	66.0	41.3	34.0	4.4	66.0	11.0
Onslow-Carteret	66.0	30.5	34.0	4.3	66.0	2.7
OPC	66.0	34.5	34.0	7.0	66.0	5.7
Pathways	66.0	50.2	34.0	7.6	66.0	7.3
Piedmont	66.0	39.0	34.0	1.5	66.0	8.1
Pitt	66.0	50.7	34.0	8.3	66.0	12.1
Roanoke-Chowan	66.0	61.1	34.0	11.8	66.0	4.8
Sandhills	66.0	38.3	34.0	3.8	66.0	6.4
Smoky Mountain	66.0	57.0	34.0	5.9	66.0	5.5
Southeastern Center	66.0	51.2	34.0	6.8	66.0	5.9
Southeastern Regional	66.0	44.3	34.0	8.5	66.0	5.7
Tideland	66.0	50.8	34.0	6.1	66.0	5.6
Wake	66.0	24.0	34.0	4.2	66.0	3.3
Western Highlands	66.0	53.6	34.0	6.2	66.0	5.3
Wilson-Greene	66.0	37.5	34.0	6.4	66.0	3.1
STATEWIDE	66.0	36.4	34.0	4.6	66.0	5.4

INDICATOR 2: Timely Initia	1			·	
	2 Visits wit	hin 14 Days	4 Visits with	hin 45 Days	Total Number
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit
A-C-R	412	25%	203	12%	1,627
Albemarle	237	28%	90	11%	856
Catawba	362	38%	193	20%	960
CenterPoint	704	35%	442	22%	2,018
Crossroads	537	39%	309	22%	1,383
Cumberland	513	19%	201	8%	2,639
Durham	569	52%	381	35%	1,095
Eastpointe	626	30%	292	14%	2,065
Edgecombe-Nash	248	24%	109	11%	1,038
Five County	450	25%	294	16%	1,824
Foothills	537	36%	281	19%	1,474
Guilford	892	30%	388	13%	2,938
Johnston	388	36%	163	15%	1,077
Mecklenburg	900	49%	605	33%	1,851
Neuse	225	33%	122	18%	678
New River	423	38%	215	19%	1,128
Onslow-Carteret	315	35%	190	21%	890
OPC	412	39%	252	24%	1,052
Pathways	1,092	34%	611	19%	3,237
Piedmont*					
Pitt	287	43%	209	31%	672
Roanoke-Chowan	183	26%	112	16%	714
Sandhills	951	31%	508	17%	3,059
Smoky Mountain*	609	49%	334	27%	1,247
Southeastern Center	664	27%	364	15%	2,454
Southeastern Regional	797	44%	502	28%	1,811
Tideland	248	28%	118	13%	886
Wake	1,044	41%	663	26%	2,552
Western Highlands	1,556	43%	928	26%	3,635
Wilson-Greene	127	23%	71	13%	545
STATEWIDE	16,504	34%	9,280	19%	47,858

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

INDICATOR 2: Timely Initia	tion and Engageme	ent of Individuals	in Service (Devel	opmental Disab	ility)
	2 Visits wit	hin 14 Days	4 Visits wit	hin 45 Days	Total Number
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit
A-C-R	12	60%	10	50%	20
Albemarle	9	53%	7	41%	17
Catawba	3	18%	2	12%	17
CenterPoint	38	72%	30	57%	53
Crossroads	24	86%	20	71%	28
Cumberland	24	50%	14	29%	48
Durham	29	59%	21	43%	49
Eastpointe	13	42%	9	29%	31
Edgecombe-Nash	8	62%	6	46%	13
Five County	16	46%	11	31%	35
Foothills	5	56%	3	33%	9
Guilford	33	53%	24	39%	62
Johnston	13	52%	9	36%	25
Mecklenburg	58	66%	43	49%	88
Neuse	13	59%	11	50%	22
New River	4	29%	2	14%	14
Onslow-Carteret	7	39%	5	28%	18
OPC	20	49%	11	27%	41
Pathways	29	60%	24	50%	48
Piedmont*					
Pitt	23	77%	22	73%	30
Roanoke-Chowan	4	67%	4	67%	6
Sandhills	30	63%	24	50%	48
Smoky Mountain*	18	62%	10	34%	29
Southeastern Center	16	47%	15	44%	34
Southeastern Regional	29	55%	18	34%	53
Tideland	5	56%	5	56%	9
Wake	78	61%	52	41%	127
Western Highlands	41	65%	32	51%	63
Wilson-Greene	16	84%	11	58%	19
STATEWIDE	620	56%	457	41%	1,102

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

	2 Visits with	hin 14 Days	4 Visits with	hin 45 Days	Total Number
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit
A-C-R	99	56%	70	40%	176
Albemarle	71	60%	49	41%	119
Catawba	96	58%	68	41%	165
CenterPoint	208	69%	166	55%	302
Crossroads	89	57%	54	34%	157
Cumberland	123	55%	90	40%	225
Durham	170	80%	138	65%	212
Eastpointe	77	57%	47	35%	135
Edgecombe-Nash	94	57%	66	40%	164
Five County	72	55%	38	29%	131
Foothills	107	75%	90	63%	142
Guilford	152	68%	128	57%	223
Johnston	44	56%	28	36%	78
Mecklenburg	134	77%	110	63%	174
Neuse	34	57%	23	38%	60
New River	105	57%	65	36%	183
Onslow-Carteret	84	64%	64	48%	132
OPC	117	64%	93	51%	183
Pathways	119	47%	88	35%	251
Piedmont*					
Pitt	117	66%	82	47%	176
Roanoke-Chowan	7	39%	1	6%	18
Sandhills	179	50%	117	33%	357
Smoky Mountain*	50	57%	34	39%	88
Southeastern Center	128	35%	77	21%	364
Southeastern Regional	113	62%	80	44%	183
Tideland	28	47%	17	29%	59
Wake	172	44%	69	18%	394
Western Highlands	187	74%	134	53%	254
Wilson-Greene	20	31%	11	17%	64
STATEWIDE	3,003	58%	2,101	40%	5,203

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

INDICATOR 3: Effective Us	1	-	0 00 0		
	1 - 7 Da	ys of Care	8 - 30 Days of Care		
LME	Number	Rate per 1,000 Population	Number	Rate per 1,000 Population	
A-C-R	478	1.86	225	0.88	
Albemarle	154	1.14	86	0.64	
Catawba	33	0.22	31	0.21	
CenterPoint	573	1.37	312	0.75	
Crossroads	174	0.68	131	0.51	
Cumberland	177	0.58	163	0.53	
Durham	352	1.44	244	0.99	
Eastpointe	495	1.69	300	1.03	
Edgecombe-Nash	418	2.88	219	1.51	
Five County	457	1.99	202	0.88	
Foothills	204	0.82	171	0.69	
Guilford	410	0.91	250	0.56	
Johnston	87	0.58	67	0.44	
Mecklenburg	219	0.27	212	0.26	
Neuse	147	1.26	85	0.73	
New River	116	0.70	101	0.61	
Onslow-Carteret	171	0.77	127	0.57	
OPC	268	1.23	126	0.58	
Pathways	264	0.73	159	0.44	
Piedmont	499	0.74	329	0.49	
Pitt	166	1.14	95	0.65	
Roanoke-Chowan	65	0.85	66	0.86	
Sandhills	593	1.13	232	0.44	
Smoky Mountain	121	0.65	112	0.61	
Southeastern Center	479	1.47	241	0.74	
Southeastern Regional	122	0.48	121	0.48	
Tideland	89	0.95	64	0.68	
Wake	1654	2.11	461	0.59	
Western Highlands	500	1.03	418	0.86	
Wilson-Greene	244	2.49	133	1.36	
STATEWIDE	9,729	1.10	5,483	0.62	

INDICATOR 4: Timely Follow-Up Care for Individuals After Inpatient Care					
	Seen in 1	to 7 days	Seen in 8	to 30 days	
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
A-C-R	90	34%	20	8%	
Albemarle	43	40%	12	11%	
Catawba	9	20%	4	9%	
CenterPoint	94	31%	26	9%	
Crossroads	31	27%	7	6%	
Cumberland	35	32%	11	10%	
Durham	61	31%	13	7%	
Eastpointe	32	26%	8	7%	
Edgecombe-Nash	43	28%	15	10%	
Five County	170	32%	44	8%	
Foothills	55	31%	20	11%	
Guilford	78	34%	25	11%	
Johnston	19	35%	8	15%	
Mecklenburg	43	34%	15	12%	
Neuse	39	37%	2	2%	
New River	33	37%	4	4%	
Onslow-Carteret	17	26%	9	14%	
OPC	70	39%	12	7%	
Pathways	42	33%	13	10%	
Piedmont*					
Pitt	35	41%	8	9%	
Roanoke-Chowan	12	30%	2	5%	
Sandhills	75	27%	31	11%	
Smoky Mountain	24	26%	5	5%	
Southeastern Center	55	28%	13	7%	
Southeastern Regional	26	28%	5	5%	
Tideland	14	36%	3	8%	
Wake	185	30%	53	9%	
Western Highlands	137	31%	36	8%	
Wilson-Greene	44	39%	12	11%	
STATEWIDE	1,611	32%	436	9%	

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

INDICATOR 5: Consumer Ch	oice of Service Providers
LME	Percent of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Consumers
A-C-R	70%
Albemarle	90%
Catawba	46%
CenterPoint	72%
Crossroads	62%
Cumberland	57%
Durham	79%
Eastpointe	83%
Edgecombe-Nash	84%
Five County	81%
Foothills	81%
Guilford	60%
Johnston	84%
Mecklenburg	64%
Neuse	68%
New River	76%
Onslow-Carteret	59%
OPC	72%
Pathways	82%
Piedmont	83%
Pitt	85%
Roanoke-Chowan	68%
Sandhills	69%
Smoky Mountain	85%
Southeastern Center	79%
Southeastern Regional	83%
Tideland	95%
Wake	62%
Western Highlands	71%
Wilson-Greene	67%
STATEWIDE	73%

INDICATOR 6: Use of Evidence-Based Service Models and Best Practices					
LME	Number of Services With 1 or More Enrolled Providers	Number of Services That Were Billed			
Catawba	5	4			
Cumberland	5	4			
Durham	7	5			
Guilford	7	6			
Mecklenburg	7	7			
Pathways	7	5			
Pitt	7	3			
Wake	7	6			
URBAN SUBTOTAL	6	5			
A-C-R	5	4			
CenterPoint	7	4			
Crossroads	6	4			
Eastpointe	5	4			
OPC	7	6			
Piedmont*					
Southeastern Center	7	6			
Western Highlands	7	5			
MIXED SUBTOTAL	6	5			
Albemarle	5	1			
Edgecombe-Nash	4	3			
Five County	6	4			
Foothills	6	3			
Johnston	5	4			
Neuse	5	3			
New River	5	3			
Onslow-Carteret	5	4			
Roanoke-Chowan	6	3			
Sandhills	7	6			
Smoky Mountain	6	5			
Southeastern Regional	7	4			
Tideland	5	2			
Wilson-Greene	4	2			
RURAL SUBTOTAL	5	3			
STATEWIDE	6	4			

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

LME	Telephonic Access	Toll Free Access Line	STR Unit Staffing Pattern	Screening	Triage and Referral	Total Score
A-C-R	50%	88%	75%	100%	100%	83%
Albemarle	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Catawba	50%	63%	50%	100%	83%	69%
CenterPoint	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	97%
Crossroads	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	95%
Cumberland	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Durham	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Eastpointe	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Edgecombe-Nash	0%	50%	75%	33%	17%	35%
Five County	75%	100%	100%	83%	100%	92%
Foothills	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Guilford	100%	88%	100%	83%	100%	94%
Johnston	0%	50%	50%	67%	33%	40%
Mecklenburg	50%	75%	75%	100%	83%	77%
Neuse	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
New River	100%	75%	100%	83%	100%	92%
Onslow-Carteret	50%	88%	50%	100%	83%	74%
OPC	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Pathways	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Piedmont	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Pitt	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Roanoke-Chowan	50%	100%	75%	83%	100%	82%
Sandhills	50%	75%	75%	100%	33%	67%
Smoky Mountain	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Southeastern Center	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Southeastern Regional	50%	100%	75%	100%	100%	85%
Tideland	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wake	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	95%
Western Highlands	25%	88%	50%	100%	83%	69%
Wilson-Greene	0%	38%	25%	50%	0%	23%
STATEWIDE	62%	89%	78%	93%	87%	82%

^{*} For full implementation, an LME must receive a score of 100% on all five components.

INDICATOR 8: Involvement of Consumers and Family				
Members in the Local Syste				
LME	Percent of Representatives in Attendance			
A-C-R	60%			
Albemarle	35%			
Catawba	38%			
CenterPoint	42%			
Crossroads	46%			
Cumberland	56%			
Durham*				
Eastpointe	40%			
Edgecombe-Nash*	81%			
Five County	42%			
Foothills	68%			
Guilford	47%			
Johnston	54%			
Mecklenburg*				
Neuse	42%			
New River	51%			
Onslow-Carteret	24%			
OPC	78%			
Pathways	55%			
Piedmont	43%			
Pitt	49%			
Roanoke-Chowan	30%			
Sandhills	83%			
Smoky Mountain	63%			
Southeastern Center	26%			
Southeastern Regional	56%			
Tideland	33%			
Wake*				
Western Highlands	69%			
Wilson-Greene*				
STATEWIDE	53%			

^{*} Edgecombe-Nash and Wilson-Greene share one CFAC and are reported under Edgecombe-Nash. Durham, Mecklenburg, and Wake did not set an expected number of members.

	Percent of Annual Funds Spent in 1st Quarter SFY 2007							
LME	Mental Health		Developmental Disability		Substance Abuse		All Division	
	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	All Disability Groups	
A-C-R	17%	26%	61%	9%	27%	2%	38%	
Albemarle	34%	12%	33%	21%	5%	0%	27%	
Catawba	24%	22%	33%	32%	19%	3%	27%	
Centerpoint	26%	19%	56%	59%	21%	12%	34%	
Crossroads	14%	15%	26%	24%	13%	9%	20%	
Cumberland	15%	20%	42%	57%	44%	1%	29%	
Durham	13%	14%	22%	74%	20%	0%	18%	
Eastpointe	13%	16%	26%	12%	4%	3%	19%	
Edgecombe-Nash	5%	4%	17%	7%	18%	0%	12%	
Five County	36%	19%	24%	11%	15%	0%	24%	
Foothills	10%	7%	44%	32%	21%	0%	26%	
Guilford	20%	13%	35%	3%	25%	0%	24%	
Johnston	20%	14%	27%	32%	26%	0%	22%	
Mecklenburg*	4%	3%	12%	13%	2%	0%	7%	
Neuse	7%	5%	12%	11%	2%	0%	8%	
New River	33%	15%	43%	4%	24%	8%	32%	
Onslow-Carteret	19%	8%	13%	0%	2%	0%	9%	
OPC	8%	7%	36%	27%	9%	1%	19%	
Pathways	25%	3%	37%	29%	20%	0%	28%	
Piedmont*								
Pitt	26%	30%	23%	42%	30%	4%	25%	
Roanoke-Chowan	20%	27%	33%	9%	1%	0%	23%	
Sandhills	8%	15%	37%	47%	15%	6%	22%	
Smoky Mountain*								
Southeastern Center	7%	8%	5%	9%	5%	0%	6%	
Southeastern Regional	29%	13%	28%	21%	12%	0%	22%	
Tideland	19%	3%	31%	13%	12%	0%	23%	
Wake	27%	25%	46%	37%	27%	6%	33%	
Western Highlands	50%	25%	63%	75%	24%	19%	45%	
Wilson-Greene	20%	4%	26%	8%	39%	4%	22%	
STATEWIDE	20%	15%	34%	26%	17%	4%	24%	

^{*} Service claims data for Piedmont and Smoky Mountain are not available for this report. Mecklenburg's numbers may be underreported due to problems in their information management system.

INDICATOR 10: Effective Management of Information					
LME	Percent of Expected NC- TOPPS Update Assessments Received	Percent of CDW Identifying and Demographic Records Completed Within 30 Days			
A-C-R	54%	94%			
Albemarle	95%	98%			
Catawba	84%	90%			
CenterPoint	80%	99%			
Crossroads	46%	96%			
Cumberland	46%	100%			
Durham	72%	99%			
Eastpointe	47%	81%			
Edgecombe-Nash	69%	97%			
Five County	81%	88%			
Foothills	93%	100%			
Guilford	85%	100%			
Johnston	99%	99%			
Mecklenburg*	98%	87%			
Neuse	99%	100%			
New River	62%	81%			
Onslow-Carteret	21%	94%			
OPC	84%	95%			
Pathways	58%	88%			
Piedmont*	87%				
Pitt	61%	92%			
Roanoke-Chowan	57%	98%			
Sandhills	73%	97%			
Smoky Mountain	8%	95%			
Southeastern Center	99%	83%			
Southeastern Regional	82%	94%			
Tideland	70%	93%			
Wake	49%	96%			
Western Highlands	47%	100%			
Wilson-Greene	86%	93%			
STATEWIDE	71%	94%			

^{*} For the CDW records, Piedmont data are not included due to problems in their information management system and Mecklenburg's numbers may be underreported due to problems in their information management system.